

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/904,312	07/31/1997	NOBUYUKI KAWAI	2918.11008	3753	
7:	590 02/12/2002				
JOSEPH M POTENZA BANNER AND WITCOFF LTD ELEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, LEE		
1001 G STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 200014597			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2683		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

25

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	08/904,312		KAWAI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	LEE NGUY	/ENI	2683			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati				ss		
Period fo		•••		•			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I sply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no even ation. ys, a reply within the statut ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a ory minimum of thi expire SIX (6) MO eation to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)[☐ This action is r	non-final.				
3)□							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from con	sideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) 🔲 🛚	「he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) t	be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	n is: a)⊟ ap	proved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Offi	ce action.				
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		ge		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).		
a	The translation of the foreign languancknowledgment is made of a claim for d	age provisional app	olication has b	peen received.			
Attachment							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2 Informal Patent Application (PTO-15			
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	·	Part of Pape	er No. 20		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	08/904,312	KAWAI ET AL.					
The view Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	LEE NGUYEN	2683					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>LEE NGUYEN</u> .	(3)						
(2) JOSEPH P. CURTIN.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>08 February 2002</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Multidependent claims 31-34</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☑ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).							
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required					

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

During the interview on 2/8/2002, the examiner has informed Applicant that the status of the claims, issues and the appendix in the supplemental appeal brief do not match with the status of multidependent claims 31-34 for the following reasons: In the final office action paper No. 15, the multidependent claims 31-34 should have the status as followed: Dependent claims 31/5, 31/5/6, 32/7, 32/7/8, 33/5, 33/5/6, 34/7 and 34/7/8 are allowable based upon the allowance of independent claims 5 and 7.

Dependent claims 31/1/2, 31/9, 31/11/12/13, 31/19/20, 31/27/28, 32/3/4, 32/10, 32/14/15/16, 32/22/23, 32/29/30, 33/9, 33/11/12/13, 33/19/20, 33/27/28, 34/10, 34/14/15/16, 34/22/23 and 34/29/30 are rejected as stated in pagragraph 9 of the final office action.

In addition, as stated by Applicant in the issues and argument of the supplemental appeal brief, on paragraph 5 of the office action, claim 24 was also included in the rejection because the remarks also directs to claim 24.

In order to expedite the prosecution, the examiner has suggested that Applicant file a second supplemental appeal brief that includes the status of multidependent claims 31-34 as illustrated above. Applicant agrees with the examiner. The examiner will correct the typographical errors concerning the rejection of dependent claim 24 and the allowance of multidepent claims 31/5, 31/5/6, 32/7, 32/7/8, 33/5, 33/5/6, 34/7 and 34/7/8 if the appeal conference decides that the examiner answer should be written. The period of one month extension of time should not be charged in accordance with the status of this summary interview.

Lee Nguyen
Primary Examiner

3